



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/731,973

12/09/2003

Eric R. First

17637 (BOT)

6433

7590  
STEPHEN DONOVAN  
ALLERGAN, INC.  
T2-7H  
2525 Dupont Drive  
Irvine, CA 92612

09/18/2009

EXAMINER

TONGUE, LAKIA J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1645

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/18/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/731,973	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FIRST, ERIC R.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LAKIA J. TONGUE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1645	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,8-10 and 12-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,8-10 and 12-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 22-24 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 17, 2009 has been entered.

Applicant's response filed on July 17, 2009 is acknowledged. Claims 1-6, 8-10 and 12-24 are currently pending and under examination.

### ***Rejections Withdrawn***

1. In view of Applicant's arguments and the support in paragraph (0044), the rejection of claims 1-6, 8-10 and 12-24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for the recitation of "injection with a needle..." (new matter) is withdrawn.

***Rejections Maintained***

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. The rejection of claims 1-6, 8-10 and 12-21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kwon (U.S. 2004/0087893 A1), as evidenced by Allergan (pages 1-4, <http://www.allergan.com/download/BotoxPI.pdf>, accessed on March 22, 2007) is maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

Applicant argues that:

1) Kwon does not identically disclose administration of botulinum toxin with a needle as claimed.

2) Kwon is specifically designed to overcome the use of needles, this is demonstrated where it is stated that "in contrast to conventional hollow needle technologies, the SSP system includes a solid matrix of dissolvable or biodegradable materials that optionally holds one or more selected drugs and is formed into on or more perforators."

3) Kwon does not teach or suggest delivery of a non-paralytic amount of botulinum toxin. The phrase does not appear in Kwon's specification, and therefore is not inherent.

Applicant's arguments have been considered, but have not been deemed persuasive.

The claims are drawn to a method for treating a skin disorder in a patient in need thereof, the method comprising the step of administering a therapeutically effective amount of a liquid solution comprising a botulinum toxin to a location of a skin disorder of the patient, wherein the administration of the botulinum toxin reduces at least one symptom of the skin disorder, thereby treating the skin disorder; wherein the solution is administered by intradermal injection or subdermal injection with a needle per session; wherein the skin disorder comprises a wart, callus, a swelling or scarring of a nerve that connects two toes, or a bunion; and wherein the botulinum toxin administered is less than the amount used to paralyze a muscle.

With regard to Point 1, contrary to Applicant's arguments, Kwon discloses a system which includes an array of one or more needles (see paragraph 0010). Figures 1 and 2 clearly demonstrate that the needle of Kwon encompasses intradermal or subdermal injection. Moreover, Applicant implies that the needle of Kwon is not identical to that which has been claimed. Absent evidence to the contrary, the needle as claimed is not a particular type of needle and does not appear to differentiate from the needles used in Kwon. Consequently, when giving the instant claims, particularly the limitation of "injection with a needle", their broadest reasonable interpretation, the limitation of needle is met by the disclosure of Kwon.

With regard to Point 2, while Kwon discloses "in contrast to conventional hollow needle technologies, the SSP system includes a solid matrix of dissolvable or biodegradable materials that optionally holds one or more selected drugs and is formed into one or more perforators" and may even seek to overcome the use of needles, Kwon

Art Unit: 1645

does indeed contemplate the use of needles to administer a therapeutically effective amount of botulinum toxin to a patient to treat corns, warts, calluses, bunions and keratoses. While the Kwon reference discloses the use of a SSP (which uses needles, blades or other perforators), at the point of administration the botulinum toxin is in a solution form, which is indicative of a liquid solution. Although Kwon uses a SSP, perforators by definition (i.e. to pierce or penetrate) meet the limitation of the instant claims.

With regard to Point 3, while Kwon may not explicitly disclose that botox is to be administered in non-paralytic or paralytic amounts, the methods of the instant invention and Kwon are identical. Claim 1 is drawn to a method for treating a skin disorder in a patient in need thereof, the method comprising the step of administering a therapeutically effective amount of a liquid solution comprising a botulinum toxin to a location of a skin disorder of the patient, wherein the administration of the botulinum toxin reduces at least one symptom of the skin disorder, thereby treating the skin disorder; wherein the solution is administered by intradermal injection or subdermal injection with a needle per session; wherein the skin disorder comprises a wart, callus, a swelling or scarring of a nerve that connects two toes, or a bunion; and wherein the botulinum toxin administered is less than the amount used to paralyze a given muscle. Applicant submits that one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine what amount of botulinum toxin to be administered is a non-paralyzing amount for a muscle (see page 11 of Applicant's Arguments filed 10/21/08). Consequently, based on Applicant's submission and absent evidence to the contrary, Kwon necessarily

Art Unit: 1645

administers an amount of botulinum toxin which is less than the amount used to paralyze a muscle.

As previously presented, Kwon discloses a method of administering a safe and effective amount of botulinum toxin for treating lesions or abnormal skin features, such as pimples, corns, warts, calluses, bunions and keratoses (see page 6, paragraph 0077). Moreover, Kwon discloses administering the botulinum toxin via a patch (topical). Kwon discloses that a design of an SSP patch includes an array of perforators that is porous and optionally serves as a drug reservoir and the active ingredients are contained in the perforator. Kwon discloses that the design is ideal for potent drug delivery, for administering small doses systemically, or for topical applications (see page 5, paragraph 0049).

The instant specification has characterized a therapeutically effective amount as an amount to alleviate a symptom of a skin disorder (see page 21), inherently Kwon has administered a therapeutically amount of botulinum toxin. With regard to claims 8-10, due to the mode of action of botulinum toxin its administration would necessarily reduce a pain and/or inflammation associated with the skin disorder as well as reduce the size of a disorder selected from the group consisting of warts, corns, calluses, a swelling or scarring of a nerve that connects two toes, hammertoes and bunions. Moreover, in view of all disclosed above the method necessarily encompasses intradermal or subdermal injection as well as a topical wherein the composition is a cream or lotion.

***New grounds of Objection***

***Claim Objections***

3. Claims 22-24 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 22-24 are objected to for depending on rejected claim 12 .

***Conclusion***

4. No claim is allowed.
5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAKIA J. TONGUE whose telephone number is (571)272-2921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Mondesi can be reached on 571-272-0956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a



Application/Control Number: 10/731,973

Page 8

Art Unit: 1645

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert B Mondesi/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner,  
Art Unit 1645

LJT  
9/11/09